MEMORANDUM FOR SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Army Performance-Based Logistics (PBL) Criteria and Reporting Requirement

This memorandum provides the U.S. Army criteria for defining a PBL product support strategy. It also provides Army policy guidance for PBL reports in Enclosure.

To be considered a PBL product support strategy a U.S. Army program must possess clearly defined, measurable, product support performance outcome(s) that meet customer requirements and expectations. The program must comply with the new Sustainment Key Performance Parameter, Key System Attributes and/or at least one of the published Department of Defense overarching metrics and/or supporting Army metric sub-element(s). The strategy must make the best use of government (organic), commercial, or organic-commercial partnership sources to ensure a best value approach. The support strategy must comply with the Army’s published PBL boundaries and constraints and include, or have a plan to include: (1) An approved and validated Business Case Analysis (BCA), (2) a Product Support Integrator (PSI); and (3) Performance Based Agreement(s) (PBA).

This policy is applicable to all PBL programs and initiatives and joint or other acquisition and sustainment programs where the U.S. Army is the lead service and/or the program will transition to the U.S. Army. For joint programs, lead service policies for PBL will be followed unless it conflicts with U.S. Army requirements or other arrangements are agreed to in writing with appropriate parties prior to PBL execution. Headquarters, Medical Research and Materiel Command (MRMC) is responsible for PBL reporting for medical materiel systems.

This PBL policy is effective immediately.
The DASA(ILS) points of contact (POCs) for this action are Mr. Larry W. Hill, commercial (703) 604-7450, DSN 664-7450 or e-mail: larry.w.hill1@us.army.mil and Ms. Dianna Woody, commercial (703) 604-7449, DSN 664-7449, or e-mail: dianna.woody@us.army.mil. Headquarters, Army Materiel Command POCs are Mr. Paul Barany, commercial (703) 806-9053, DSN 656-9053, or e-mail: paul.barany@us.army.mil and Ms. Karen Mangum, commercial (703) 806-9047, DSN 656-9047, or e-mail: karen.mangum@us.army.mil.

Claude M. Bolton, Jr.
Assistant Secretary of the Army
(Acquisition, Logistics and Technology)

Enclosure

DISTRIBUTION:
COMMANDERS:
U.S. ARMY EUROPE
U.S. ARMY FORCES COMMAND
U.S. ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND
U.S. ARMY TRAINING AND DOCTRINE COMMAND
EIGHTH U.S. ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
U.S. ARMY MEDICAL COMMAND
U.S. ARMY PACIFIC COMMAND
U.S. ARMY SPACE AND MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND
U.S. ARMY SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND
SURFACE DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION COMMAND
U.S. ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND
U.S. ARMY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION COMMAND
U.S. ARMY AMCOM LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT COMMAND
U.S. ARMY AMMUNITION LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT COMMAND
U.S. ARMY CECCOM LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT COMMAND
U.S. ARMY TACOM LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT COMMAND
U.S. ARMY FIELD SUPPORT COMMAND
U.S. ARMY RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING COMMAND

PROGRAM EXECUTIVE OFFICERS:
AMMUNITION
AVIATION
COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)
CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (JOINT)
DISTRIBUTION:  (CONT)
COMBAT SUPPORT AND COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT
GROUND COMBAT SYSTEMS
ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SYSTEMS
INTELLIGENCE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE AND SENSORS
MISSILE AND SPACE
SOLDIER
SIMULATION, TRAINING AND INSTRUMENTATION

PROGRAM MANAGERS:
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION
JOINT SIMULATION SYSTEM
UNIT OF ACTION

CF:
VICE CHIEF OF STAFF, ARMY
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY (ACQUISITION, LOGISTICS
AND TECHNOLOGY), ATTN: SAAL-ZR, SAAL-ZP, SAAL-ZN,
SAAL-ZS, SAAL-ZT, SAAL-ZM, SAAL-ZC, SAAL-ZG
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY (FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND
COMPTROLLER)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF, G-1
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF, G-3/5/7
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF, G-4
CHIEF, INFORMATION OFFICER/G-6
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF, G-8
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PBL REPORTING

PBL Reporting. It is critical that the Army institutionalize a reporting 
mechanism to evaluate progress and facilitate routine updates to senior Army 
leadership and the Office of the Secretary of Defense. For product support 
strategies identified as PBL using the above criteria, a standardized report will be 
required on a semi-annual basis. The Army PBL reporting requirement is 
established in order to identify a program’s current status in applying 
performance-based product support at the System of Systems (SoS), weapon 
system, sub-system, component, and/or secondary item level. The PMs will also 
report on PBL strategies that have been determined to be operationally and 
economically feasible based upon a Type I (Feasibility) Business Case Analysis 
(BCA). If PBL is determined to not be operationally and economically feasible, 
an initial report will be submitted explaining why PBL is not a viable support 
strategy; no further reporting will be required unless a future analysis determines 
potential for a PBL product support approach.

All PBL reports must be submitted in accordance with the Army PBL 
reporting guidance (preparation and format) provided at Enclosure 2. This 
reporting requirement applies to each LCMC PM, non-LCMC PM and Direct 
Reporting PM (DRPM) organization. The reports will be due on a semi-annual 
basis NLT 30 October and 30 April of each year. The Deputy Assistant 
Secretary of the Army for Integrated Logistics Support (DASA(ILS)) will send out 
reminders electronically 60 days prior to the due date to ensure timely reporting. 
Semi-annual PBL reports will be submitted electronically to the DASA(ILS) and 
HQ AMC and HQ MRMC (for medical materiel only) in accordance with the 
information provided below.

TOTAL LIFE CYCLE SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT (TLC(M)) CORE TEAM

LCMC Commanders, separate PEOs, and DRPMs will appoint a primary and 
alternate PBL Coordinator at their level and may designate P3L Coordinators at 
lower levels, as desired. Designated PBL Coordinators will be responsible for 
compiling, verifying, and submitting PBL Reports for the DRPM/PEO/LCMC to 
higher headquarters and will serve as the single organization’s point of contact 
for PBL reporting. The DASA (ILS), HQ AMC and HQ MRMC will also assign a 
primary and alternate PBL Coordinator to ensure PBL initiatives are 
complementary to each other and in concert with Army acquisition/sustainment 
concepts. Names, email addresses, and phone numbers of PBL Coordinators 
should be provided to the DASA(ILS) and HQ AMC points of contact (POCs) 
below NLT thirty days from the date of this memorandum.
Responsibilities.

The PEOs/PMs, and LCMCs, in conjunction with other PBL stakeholders, are responsible for ensuring that all programs, processes, and initiatives reported as PBL meet the Army criteria established above. Specific responsibilities for PBL reporting are identified below. Army PEOs/PMs will have lead responsibility for system and sub-system/component level PBL reporting with support from the LCMCs. The LCMCs will have lead responsibility for reporting on non-medical, secondary item PBL strategies, with support from PEOs/PMs, where applicable.

Program/Product Manager (PM). As the TLCSM, the PM is the focal point and responsible agent for executing PBL actions across the life-cycle of their programs. The PM and other TLCSM Core Team Elements (to include contracting, logistics, and industrial organizations) will work closely together to complete PBL reporting requirements. PMs will also work closely with other PMs/PEOs/LCMCs to ensure that program PBL initiatives are in concert with sustainment concepts of other component systems. The PMs will:

- Collaborate with AMC LCMC stakeholders to complete and submit PBL Reports.
- Submit completed PBL Report to designated DRPM/PEO/LCMC PBL Coordinator for review and release to higher headquarters.
- Report system and sub-system/component-level PBL while supporting the LCMC in reporting AWCF depot level repairable/secondary item(s).

Program Executive Officers (PEO). The PEO remains responsible for TLCSM of assigned subordinate programs. The PEO will ensure that the PM's PBL initiatives are not only effective for their systems but are also coordinated with other PEOs/LCMCs where required. Where PMs do not fall under an LCMC structure, the PEO is the focal point and primary responsible agent for oversight of PBL actions across the life-cycle of their subordinate programs. They will also work closely with other PEOs/LCMCs to ensure that PM PBL initiatives are in concert with sustainment concepts of other PEO/PM systems. Any PEOs not in an LCMC structure will:

- Appoint a primary and alternate PBL Coordinator.
- Retain responsibility for actions of PBL Coordinators.
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**Army Materiel Command (AMC) Life Cycle Management Command (LCMC) Commander.** The LCMC Commander is the focal point and primary responsible agent for oversight of PBL actions across the life-cycle of their subordinate programs. They will also work closely with other PEOs/LCMCs to ensure that PM PBL initiatives are in concert with sustainment concepts of other PEO/PM systems. The LCMC Commanders will:

- Appoint a primary and alternate PBL Coordinator.
- Retain responsibility for actions of PBL Coordinators.
- Report AWCF depot level repairable/secondary item(s) PBL while supporting the PEOs/PMs in reporting system/sub-system/component PBL.

**PBL Coordinator.** The designated organizational PBL Coordinator(s) will be responsible for compiling, verifying, and submitting PBL Reports for the DRPM/PEO/LCMC to higher headquarters. The DASA (ILS), HQ AMC, and HQ MRMC (for medical materiel only) will also assign a primary and alternate PBL Coordinator to ensure PBL initiatives are complementary to each other and in concert with Army acquisition/sustainment concepts. The PBL Coordinator will:

- Be the single organizational point of contact for PBL. Report inquiries from higher headquarters.
- Report AWCF depot level repairable/secondary item(s) not otherwise reported by the PM, PEO, or LCMC Commander.

**Army Materiel Command (AMC) Life Cycle Management Command (LCMC) Integrated Materiel Management Centers (IMMCs), Logistics Readiness Centers (LRCs), Software Engineering Centers (SECs), Depots (and other AMC organizations serving as Army Working Capital Fund (AWCF) depot level repairable/secondary item PBL Product Support manager/integrator).**

The AMC LCMC organization that arranges, manages, and integrates PBL product support for AWCF depot level repairable/secondary item(s) (not being reported by a PEO/PM as part of an ACAT PBL program) will be the focal point and primary responsible agent for executing PBL actions across the life cycle of the PBL application. They will coordinate and collaborate with users across the PEO/PM community to ensure their product support strategy supports overarching life cycle support objectives. The AMC LCMC AWCF entities will:

- Submit their reports on AWCF depot level repairable/secondary item(s) through the LCMC to DASA (ILS) and AMC HQs G5 and HQ MRMC for medical materiel only.
- Consider both system unique and common items.
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JOINT DASA (ILS)/HQ AMC REVIEW TEAM

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army for Integrated Logistics Support 
(DASA (ILS)). The DASA (ILS) Acquisition Logistics Policy Directorate will staff 
PBL reports in HQDA as required. The DASA (ILS) Acquisition Logistics Policy 
Directorate will:

- Assign a primary and alternate PBL Coordinator.
- In conjunction with the DASA(ILS) Weapon Systems Directorate, 
  perform an enterprise-wide review and analysis of the reports to 
  ensure PBL initiatives are complementary to each other and in concert 
  with Army acquisition concepts.
- Contact the organizational PBL Coordinator for additional information 
  and/or to respond to follow-on questions.
- Submit collated reports and overview briefing(s) to the DASA (ILS), 
  Army Acquisition Executive, and OSD as required/requested.

Headquarters, Army Materiel Command and HQ MRMC (for medical 
material only). The HQ AMC G-5 will serve as the HQ AMC proponent for the 
Joint DASA (ILS)/HQ AMC Review Team. HQ AMC G-5 will staff PBL Reports 
within HQ AMC, as required, and will:

- Assign a primary and alternate PBL Coordinator.
- Perform an enterprise-wide review and analysis of the reports to 
  ensure PBL initiatives are complementary to each other and in concert 
  with Army/AMC sustainment concepts.
- Develop follow-on questions with DASA (ILS) via the Joint Review 
  Team to request additional information from organizational PBL 
  Coordinator(s).
- Submit collated reports and overview briefing(s) to the AMC 
  Leadership as required/requested.

HQ MRMC will perform the above responsibilities for medical materiel.
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**PBL REPORT SUBMISSION/PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM**

**TLCSM CORE TEAM**

PROGRAM/PRODUCT MANAGER
COMPLETES PBL REPORT W/AMC STAKEHOLDER INPUT

AMC LCMC
- PROVIDES INPUT TO PM
- VALIDATES PBL REPORT FOR SUSTAINMENT VIEW

AMC IMM, LRC, SEC
(OR OTHER LEAD ORG.)
- REPORTS ON SECONDARY ITEMS (NON-ACAT PROGRAMS)
- COORDINATES WITH ALL STAKEHOLDERS

PBL COORDINATOR
VERIFIES & RELEASES?

LCMC CDR/PEO/DRPM CONCURS?

No

Yes

DASA (ILS) POLICY
- STAFFS REPORT WITH DASA (ILS) STAFF FOR ACQUISITION ANALYSIS AND REVIEW
- STAFFS REPORT WITH DA STAFF AS REQUIRED
- DEVELOPS & TRACKS FOLLOW-ON QUESTIONS
- FORWARDS STATUS REPORTS/BRIEFINGS TO DASA (ILS), AAE, AND OSD AS REQUIRED

HQ AMC/HQ MRMC
- REVIEWS REPORT FOR SUSTAINMENT ANALYSIS AND REVIEW
- STAFFS REPORT WITH OTHER HQ AMC/MRMC STAFF ELEMENTS AS REQUIRED
- DEVELOPS FOLLOW-ON QUESTIONS WITH DASA (ILS) VIA JOINT REVIEW TEAM

JOINT REVIEW TEAM

No

Yes
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE-BASED LOGISTICS (PBL) REPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCMC/PEO/DRPM:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM/PRODUCT MANAGER OFFICE:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM (FULL NAME):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACAT LEVEL:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pre-MDAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IAC/IAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other/Process/Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBL IMPLEMENTATION LEVEL:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SoS/FoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sub-System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Secondary Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Process/Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFE CYCLE PHASE:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Concept Refinement (&lt;MS A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Technology Development (MS A ↔ MSB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- System Development and Demonstration (MS B ↔ MS C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Production and Deployment (IOC, &gt; MS C): Block # / Other:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Operations &amp; Support (FOC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS (BCA) STATUS:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Type 1 (Feasibility):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Projected Start Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Projected Completion Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Actual Completion Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Type 2 (Formal):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Projected Start Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Projected Completion Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Actual Completion Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Date Submitted to Higher HQs:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCA DECISION CRITERIA:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCA STAFFING AND APPROVAL STATUS:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- TLCSM Core Team (LCMC/PEO/PM/TRADOC/IMMC/DLA, Etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IV&amp;V Team (DA Staff/DASA (CE)/DASA (ILS)/AMC HQ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- APPROVAL TEAM (DASA (ILS)/AAE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- OTHER/NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERFORMANCE-BASED AGREEMENTS (PBAs):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PM-Warfighter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Type PBA:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Signatory/Approval Parties:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Contra/trade:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PM-PSI(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRODUCT SUPPORT INTEGRATOR(S):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PM/Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Organic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST (Organization Name(s)):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment [c2]: Specify fiscal quarters
Comment [c3]: Self-explanatory (dd-mm-yy)
Comment [c1]: Enter official LCMC, PEO, or DRPM title (e.g., Missiles and Space)
Comment [c4]: Enter officially designated ACAT program office name (e.g., PM, PFRMS)
Comment [c5]: Enter officially recognized ACAT program office name (e.g., GMLRS)
Comment [c6]: Mark ACAT level
Comment [c7]: Check PBL implementation level(s) covered by this report
Comment [c9]: Check life cycle phase of reported system/program/product
Elaborate on upgrades, etc.
Comment [c8]: List official name/nomenclature
Comment [c10]: Self-explanatory
Comment [c11]: [Narrative or bullets]
Will the analyst of alternatives (AoA) in the BCA comply with the Army PBL boundaries and constraints, statutory provisions, and regulatory provisions? What considerations outlined in the PBL BCA Policy memo will be addressed in the BCA AoA? What about other considerations such ACAT level, readiness reporting, OX, funding constraints, LLM, OSD/DA/AMC policies and regulations?
Comment [c12]: Check which team or organization formal BCA is with.
Comment [c13]: Check PBAs in place (PM-WP; PM-PSI; and/or PSI-PSI).
Type - Contract, MOU, SLA, etc.? If contract, is it FFP, C+, C+ incentives, etc.? Is it a draft PBA?
Signatory/Approval Parties - Who signed agreements for whom? Who approved PBA?
Comment [c14]: Check appropriate PSI(s) and list names of entity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE-BASED LOGISTICS (PBL) REPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCMC/PEO/DRPM:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM/PRODUCT MANAGER OFFICE:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM (FULL NAME):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRODUCT SUPPORT PROVIDER(S):**
- PM/Internal
- Organic
- Commercial
- PPP
- Other

LIST (Organization Name(s)):

**PERFORMANCE MEASURES (GOAL(S)):**
- Operational Availability – Sub-metric(s):
- Operational Reliability – Sub-metric(s):
- Cost Per Unit Usage – Sub-metric(s):
- Logistics Footprint – Sub-metric(s):
- Logistics Response Time – Sub-metric(s):
- Other – Sub-metric(s):

**PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES (ACTUALS):**
- Operational Availability – Sub-metric(s):
- Operational Reliability – Sub-metric(s):
- Cost Per Unit Usage – Sub-metric(s):
- Logistics Footprint – Sub-metric(s):
- Logistics Response Time – Sub-metric(s):
- Other – Sub-metric(s):

**SUMMARY OF PBL PRODUCT SUPPORT STRATEGY:**

**ISSUE(S)/PROBLEM(S) AND RESOLUTION PLAN (TO INCLUDE LESSONS LEARNED):**

---

**Comment [c1]:** Enter official LCMC, PEO, or DRPM Title (e.g., Missiles and Space).

**Comment [c2]:** Specify fiscal quarters.

**Comment [c3]:** Self-explanatory (dd-mm-yy).

**Comment [c4]:** Enter officially designated ACAT program office name (e.g., PM, PFRMS).

**Comment [c5]:** Enter officially recognized ACAT program office name (e.g., GMLRS).

**Comment [c15]:** Check appropriate PSP(s) and list names of entity.

**Comment [c16]:** Check top-level metric(s) (goals) and list all sub-metrics with quantified goal (#).

**Comment [c17]:** Check top-level metric(s) (actuals) and list all sub-metrics with quantified actuals (#).

**Comment [c18]:** Synopsize in narrative key points from support strategy.

**Comment [c19]:** State issue(s)/problems concisely and synopsize plan to resolve. Also discuss what is needed from external organizations to help resolve.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCMC/PEO/DRPM</th>
<th>PERIOD OF REPORT</th>
<th>DATE OF REPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM/PRODUCT MANAGER OFFICE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM (FULL NAME)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POC NAME</th>
<th>POC CONTACT INFO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comment [c2]:** Specify fiscal quarters

**Comment [c3]:** Self-explanatory (dd-mm-yyyy)

**Comment [c1]:** Enter official LCMC, PEO, or DRPM Title (e.g., Missiles and Space)

**Comment [c4]:** Enter officially designated ACAT program office name (e.g., PM, PFRMS)

**Comment [c5]:** Enter officially recognized ACAT program office name (e.g., GMLRS)

**Comment [c20]:** Name and position/title

**Comment [c21]:** Commercial phone number(s), DSN, and email address(es)
Check PBAs in place (PM-WF; PM-PSI; and/or PSI-PSPs).

Type - Contract, MOU, SLA, etc.? If contract, is it FFP, C+, C+ w/incentives, etc? Is it a draft PBA?

Signatory/Approval Parties - Who signed agreements for whom? Who approved PBA?

Term/Period: Terms of agreement (1+4, 5, etc.) to include incentives and length/period of agreement?
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Army PBL criteria will be incorporated into the Army PBI Implementation Guide dated 4 May 2004 during the next update. References specific to the semi-annual PBL reporting requirement are contained in the documents below.
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